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## Next issue：7th May．

Please send in articles，news， etc．for the next issue by 23rd April．

## EDITORIAL

Why so few at the League Congress？
Numbers were down at this year＇s League Congress；just 89 compared with 105 last year and a dismal 79 the year before that．It is surprising that a League of around 500 players can not get a larger turnout．Particularly bleak and disappointing is the shortage of players above grade of 140；this year there were only 15 players in the top section．
We need to try and improve our League Congress by finding out what we need to change．Do we need higher prize money（though this means higher entry fees）？ Should the entry forms come out earlier？Are the round times inconvenient？Should we state in advance what th grading limits on each section will be？Should we allow entrants from outside the League？If you are one of the majority who do not play in the Congress，please write and let me know why．
Looking for a new editor
When the next issue of the Chess Times appears I will have been in the post of Editor for three years．The workload in my real（paid）job has recently increased somewhat and so I feel it＇s time to step aside and give someone else a go at producing the mag．

I believe I will be leaving the Chess Times in a healthy state．The subscriber numbers have doubled in the last three years and there is sufficient money coming in meet the expenses．The new editor will find there is good team of regular and occasional writers which a helps to make the production of each issue a lot easie Usually the problem is what to leave out．
Of course，there is always a lot of room for improvement：new and different types of articles，more contributors，more club news，and there is a whole lof that could be done to get more advertisers．
I am looking for a new editor to replace me after the League AGM in May．If you might be vaguely interested，why not contact me to have a talk about what＇s involved？

John Richards
The Front Cover illustration（minus the caption which is entirely the Editor＇s fault）is a Bill Tidy cartoon Bill Hartston＇s marvellously funny 1976 book How to Cheat at Chess．If you don＇t possess a copy，you be pleased to hear that Cadogan have recently re－issued it and it only costs $£ 4.99$ ．Also just out is the Soft Pawn for the same price．Essential reading for ‘serious＇players！

## David Collier looks back at the life and games of a great Bristol chess player

## Alan Ashby

One thing that was not mentioned in the obituaries in the January issues was that Alan was a committed Socialist－perhaps it is not thought appropriate to mention this in an obituary in a chess magazine，but as I believe it is relevant to Alan＇s life I will make the point anyway．I do not know whether this explains why Alan was prepared to make a stand on his principles，and for other people，or whether it is the other way round，but either way，in this day and age when it is unfashionable even to use the word Socialist Alan still believed passionately that there was such a thing as society．And we are the poorer for his loss

I now want to concentrate on Alan Ashby， chessplayer．Those new to Bristol chess will not appreciate what a good player Alan was or how important he was to Bristol chess From the time Alan arrived in Bristol in 1975 until the arrival of Chris Beaumont，Alan was the main standard bearer for Bristol and Gloucestershire；he was the man mostly likely to challenge the＂foreign＂invasion of West Country tournaments，was top board for the county and spear－headed Bristol \＆Clifton＇s early forays into the National Club competition．Alan was a regular challenger for top honours in the West of England （hampionship，winning twice and so going on to play in＂the British＂

Boris Spassky was Alan＇s chess hero and there is some of Boris in his style（we are talking about the early energetic Boris here of course， not the enervated modern version）．I was most impressed by Alan＇s ability to formulate meaningful plans in the sort of open position
where most of us would just be pushing the wood around aimlessly．He also had an excellent grasp of the dynamics of chess being prepared to accept structural weaknesses in return for activity－O．K．so we can all do this at times，but the trick is to get it right！

I hope to find some games that will illustrate these aspects of Alan＇s style but so far I have only been through his early games and the style had not crystallised at that stage．What I have found is a series of dashing king－side attacks and a number of games that illustrate another facet－a sharp tactical ability

It is helpful that Alan was very disciplined in writing up his games and made light notes on many of them．Game 1，388 was a win on time （almost certainly not the first）against Alex Easton on 13 October 1993

Monk，RG－Ashby
Teeside Open Rd4， 1973
 Qxd2＋5．Hxd2 \＆f6 6．Ec3 d6 7．g3 ＊e7 8．Od1 0－0 9．og 2 与c6 10．0－0 e5 11．d5 Ed8 $12 . \mathrm{e}^{3}$ oe4 $12 \ldots$ ． 47
 16．te2 be5 17．bf4 h5 18．b4 h4 19．c5 hxg3 20．hxg3 ofxg3 20．．． פd7 21． 5 c 4 包 $3+22 . \operatorname{exf} 3$ gxf 323.
 26．$) \mathrm{dxE}$［ $26 . \mathrm{c} 6$ th 6 27．cxb7 Exh4 28．bxa8桨＋宙f7 29．gxh4 奖xh4 30
 th3 28． 0 g 5 th2＋ $0-1$

Ashby－Holt，H
Manchester Championship Rd4， 1969
1．e4 e5 2．0f3 Ec6 3．d4 exd4 4．c3 d5 5．exd5 Uxd5 6．cxd4 $2 \mathrm{~b} 4+7$ ．bc 3
 ge8 11．a3 ed6 12．Bel a6 13．＊c2 g6 14．Eadl Qf5 15．＊ G66 17．\＆g5 \＆bs［17．．．Qe7 18．d5； 17．．．if 8 Last chance］18．0e5 ge7 ［18．．． 0 bd7 19．Exd7 Exd7 20．©d5］ 19 oct ge6 20．d5 Qf5［20．．．fxd5？ 21．$x$（ 71 d6［21． $0 x f 7$ ©xf7 22. d6＋\＄7 23 dxe7 24.5 d 5 （24． 6xf6t 6 f6 25 ， $46+$ FRITZ） 24 ．．． 2bd7 25 ． mb 2 APA 121 ．．．cxd6 22 ． Qxf6 Qxf6 23. Bxf7 Exelt 24 ．Exe1最 2006＋＋－］25．Re8＋由97 26．光h6 1－0

Ashby－Lynch，D
Lancashire U－18 final， 1970 $1 . e 4 \quad \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 2 . ⿹ \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 3 . \mathrm{d} 4 \quad$ cxd4 4 ． Exd4 Ef6 5．Ec3 a6 6．وc4 e6 7．Ob3 2e7 8．15 0－0 9．Hf 3 tic7 $10 . f 5$ e5 $11.5 \mathrm{de} 2 \mathrm{b5} 12 . \mathrm{a3}$ lb7 $13 . \mathrm{g}^{4}$＂With a good attack＂－Fischer［APA］ 13．．．ebd 14．g5 ee $[14 \cdots 3 x+17$ 15．Ed5 Exg5 16．2xe7t With 17．Uh irn1 18.18 irresistable attack－APA（18． Df6 bfxe4 19．复g4 off 20 ．Qh6


20．．．txe6 21．fxe6 gxh6 22．亿xe

 ［27．．．Exc2？28．e7＋－］28．Eg2［28．
e7？！2h4＋29．Eg3＊g1＋！］1－0

## Ashby－Ripley <br> Manchester League $v$ Liverpool

League， 1970
$1 . e 4$ c5 2.0 ef3 e6 $3 . d 4$ crd4 4. Exd4 a6 5．c4 \＆f6 6．5c3 0b4 7．2d3 Ec6 8．もc2 exc3＋9．bxc3 d5 10. exd5 exd5 11．2a3 le6 12．＊e2 c7 13．0－0 0－0－0 $14.8 f b 1$ the8 $15 . \mathrm{c5}$ Eg4 16．g3 Ege5 17．2xa6


 bxa6 23． $\mathrm{H} x a 6+$ 由d7 24．c6t $8 x \mathrm{x} 6$ 25． H b7 Exd4 26． $2 \mathrm{~d} 6+1-0$

Ashby－Burston，J
Manchester Open－Rd．2， 1970 1．e4 e5 2．5f3 Ec6 $3 . \mathrm{d} 4$ exd4 4．c3 dxc 35 ．Qc4 cxb2 6．2xb2 $2 \mathrm{~b} 4+7$ ． Dc3 tf6 8．Wc2 0－0 9．0－0－0 因e $10 . \mathrm{e}^{5} 5 \mathrm{~g} 411.5 \mathrm{~A} 5$ Qc5 $12.5 \mathrm{f} 6+$


12．．．gxf6 13．2xf7＋由xf7 14．${ }^{\text {trxh7 }}$由e6 15．exf6 d5 16．5g5＋由d6 17. \＆f7＋由e6 18．Hhel＋2e3＋19．80xe3＋ Exe3 20． $2 x \mathrm{xd} 8+1-0$

Ashby－Gilliaw，C
University of London Union $v$ Mitcham， 1972
1．e4 c5 2. ．⿹f 3 d 6 3．d4 cxd4 4. Exd4 \＆f6 5．Ec3 g6 6．ge3 2g7 7．f3 0－0 8．皆d2 Ec6 9．lc4 ld7 10．h4 EAc8 11．थb3 h5 12．0－0－0 be5 13. lg5 En7 14．2h6 5c4 15．Dxce Exc4 16．2xg7 bxg7 17．g4 Df6 18．g5 घe8
 ［口e6］22．f5 e6 23．fxg6 由xg6 24
 ［26． 4 f ？！$\delta x f 6$ 27．敞xf6＋${ }^{26}$（27． gxf6＋由h7！）27．．．亩g8 28．g6＊e3＋
 gxg4 hxg4 29.5 d 5


 $96+$ ！］ $30.2 \times \mathrm{xe} 7$ 奖xe7 31 ．当e2 g3 32. ＊g4 1－0

Watson，IR－Ashby
Manchester II v East Cheshire II， 1973
$1 . \mathrm{e} 4 \mathrm{e} \quad 2 . \mathrm{d} 4 \mathrm{~d} 5$ 3．5c3 Shb4 4．e5 ve7 5．Hg 4 Df5 6．2f3 Ec6 7．èd2 Qd3 is more active 7．．．．h5 8．首f4 Ace7 9．Eh4［9．9nc1！？$\triangle$ tyd2； 9. 4g5？！5g6 10. ． 4 f 3 f F（Ashby but not because of 11．exf6 gxf6 12．Nh3 Nxd4？？13．Qd3 Nf5（Bxc3 4．Qxg6＋）14．Qb4＋＋－，DOC
Fritz recommends 11．Qxf6）］9．．．
c6 10．exf6［10．exf5 $2 x f 5$ 11．h4 fxe5 12．dxe5 Qe7 13．g3 0－0干］ 10．．．gxf6 11．Exf5 Exf5 12．0－0－0
敞d6干］12．．．8d6 13．＊if3 Exd4 14.
 Bg1 c5 18．g4 hxg3 19．hxg3 c4 20.当e2 Qc5 21. Eg 2 首f7 22．th2［22．g4 bd4 23． $\begin{aligned} & \text { ald } \\ & \text { b5 } 24 . g 5 ~ b 4 ~ \\ & 25 .(4 a 4 ~ b 3\end{aligned}$ $26 . a x b 3$ cxb3 27．c3＊a5 28．cxd 4


 27． $0 x$ x 5 Inh $0-1$

## Sheldrick，JN－Ashby

Manchester Open Rd4， 1973 1．d4 もf6 2．c4 c5 3．d5 e6 4．Dc3 exd5 5．cxd5 d6 6． 5 f3 g6 $7 . \mathrm{e}^{4}$ eg7 8．＊a4t 气bd7 9．gf 4 0－0 10．e2 a6
 $14.2 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{~b} 515 . \mathrm{h} 3 \mathrm{c} 416$ ． H 2 g 5

17．f4 gxf4 18．0xf4 לg6 19．eg5 bd7 20．a3 皆c5t 21．Wh1 h6 22．eff
 2h5 4f8 26．Edl Efc7 27．Df2 c3 28.
 31.04 f 3 cxb 232.5 xb 2 nxb 233.9 g Ac3 $0-1$

> Ashby - McArthur , H

Teesside Open Rd3 1973
1．e4 c5 $2.0 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{~d} 6 \quad 3.2 \mathrm{c} 3$ Sc6 4．d4 cxd4 5．Exd4 g6 6．ge3 2g7 7．4d2 f6 8．f3 0－0 9．e日 4 exd4 10 ．ixd 4 Re6 11．2b3 Qxb3 12．cxb3 a6 13． $0-0-0$ b5 14．©bl＊b8［14．a5！？］ 15．h4 h5 16．g4 b4 $17.4 d 5$ e5 18. le3 Exd5 19．Wxd5 hxg4 20．h5 gxh5

23．．．光e2［23．．．f5 24．exf5＊e2？


 29．Uh7 Fritz 29．．．6e6 30 co an7＋ف08 32 6 $6+1$ \＃］24．8xg7＋कxg7 25．2h6＋1－0

## 4NCL NEWS

Roy Phillips



Third Weekend：21－22 January
Bristol were drawn against the two mos northerly teams：Midland Monarchs and North West Eagles．Both of these two teams had performed well on the first two weekends．Unperturbed，the Bristol team entered battle．
Unfortunately our change of luck didn＇t come on Saturday and we were comprehen sively beaten by a team on form．
Bristol \＆District Midland Mon＇chs C Beaumont $0-1$ A Webster A Karlsson $\quad 0-1$ A Ledger G Wall $\quad 1 / 2-1 / 2$ M Fergusson M Binks
T Mordue
R Phillips R Cole M Buckley 0－1 G Buckley 0－1 H Hunt $1 / 2-1 / 2$ L Cooper 0－1 C Baker $1 / 2-1 / 2$ R McFarland $11 / 2-61 / 2$

The team spent a pleasant Saturday evening replenishing brain cells with some Indian cuisine．It seems the strength of the player is directly proportional to the strength of their curry．Chris Beaumont ended up their curry．Chris Beaumont ended up
consuming a curry hotter than a pawn on consu
On Sunday we managed to take a point from the North West Eagles but，if it had not been for our continued bad luck，we could have had our first win．
Bristol \＆District

| t | N West Eagles |
| :--- | :--- |
| $0-1$ | J Littlewood |
| $1-0$ | G Quillan |
| $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | G House |
| $1-0$ | B Lund |
| $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | D Tebb |
| $0-1$ | J Merriman |
| $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | D Wolstencroft |
| $1 / 2-1 / 2$ | R Reeves |
| $4-4$ |  |

Fourth Weekend：18－19 February
Our team was severely hit by a few problems：forgetfulness；preferring the Port－ smouth Congress；work（acceptable）；study ing for a degree（also acceptable）．This left us without our usual top two for both days， and without board three for the Sunday，and having to find four additional players． Fortunately I managed to achieve this and I＇d like to thank those who filled in Id likecially Martin Sellars who travelled 240 especially Martin Sellars who travelled 240 miles to play on Sunday．In fact，I now have the dilemma of who to select for the nex
match；all four scored a healthy $50 \%$ ！

Overall，however，the weekend was typical of how things have been going for us．We struggled against Slough who outgraded us：
Bristol \＆District Slough
G Wall 0－1 K Arkell
$T$ Mordue $\quad 0-1 \quad \mathrm{M}$ Hennigan
R Phillips $\quad 1 / 2-1 / 2 \mathrm{M}$ Houska
M Binks
A Cooper
Rudd
D Collier
M Buckley

## $\begin{array}{lll}1 / 2-1 / 2 & \mathrm{M} & \text { Housk } \\ 0-1 & \mathrm{R} \text { Webb }\end{array}$

 $0-1 \quad$ R We $1 / 2-1 / 2 ?$ $0-1$ 1－0 R Noyce 0－1 Houska 2－6But we managed to collect a match point from Na Fiana．Congrats to our two juniors who pulled off two essential wins．

## Bristol \＆District Na Fiana

 R Phillips $\quad 1 / 2-1 / 2$ C O＇Shaughnessy T Mordue $\quad 1 / 2-1 / 2 \mathrm{C}$ Holland A Cooper $\quad 1 / 2-1 / 2 \mathrm{P}$ Wallace M Binks $\quad 0-1$ T Clarke D Collier $\quad \hat{-1}$ R McMichae J Rudd $\quad 1-0 \quad \mathrm{~S}$ Connolly M Sellars $\quad 1 / 2-1 / 2 \mathrm{M}$ O＇Siochur M Buckley $\quad 1-0$ R O＇Brien $4-4$P W D L F A Pts
D D P A PIs
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { Midland Mnchs } & 8 & 7 & 0 & 1 & 401 / 2 & 231 / 2 & 14\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Witney } & 8 & 6 & 0 & 2 & 361 / 2 & 271 / 2 & 12\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { BCM } & 8 & 5 & 1 & 2 & 38 & 26 & 11 \\ \text { Wood Green } & 8 & 5 & 1 & 2 & 38 & 26 & 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Wood Green } & 8 & 5 & 1 & 2 & 38 & 26 & 11\end{array}$ Slough NW Eagles Invicta Knights $\begin{array}{llllllll}8 & 4 & 1 & 3 & 311 / 2 & 321 / 2 & 9 \\ 8 & 3 & 0 & 5 & 31 & 33 & 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Barbican } & 8 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 31 & 33 & 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Barbican } & 8 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 31 & 33 & 6 \\ S\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { S Wales Drgons } 8 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 301 / 2 & 331 / 2 & 6 \\ \text { Covent Garden } & 8 & 3 & 0 & 5 & 27 & 37 & 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Covent Garden } & 8 & 3 & 0 & 5 & 27 & 37 & 6 \\ \text { Rristol } \& & \text { Dist } & 8 & 0 & \mathbf{3} & \mathbf{5} & \mathbf{2 2 1 / 2} & \mathbf{4 1 1 / 2} \\ \mathbf{3}\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Bristol \＆Dist } & \mathbf{8} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{3} & \mathbf{5} & \mathbf{2 2 1 / 2} & \mathbf{4 1 1 / 2} & \mathbf{3} \\ \mathrm{Na} \text { Fianna } & 8 & 0 & 1 & 7 & 22 & 42 & 1\end{array}$

## Tired of being the rabbit

The Hanham Major，4－6 November

## John Richards

What does it take to win a tourna－ ment？I think I＇d almost forgoten as for the last few years my grade has hovered around 165 ，which meant I had to play in Opens．

I have played in a large number of these events，going home on the Sunday evening， slumping in the armchair，opening a bottle of wine，and telling myself Opens were ＂good for my chess＂．If I scored $21 / 2$ or 3 out of 5 I would be satisfied and a score of $31 / 2$ would put me on a high for days．But too often，there was a succession of $11 / 2$ or 2 point results，or the occasional dismal solitary 1 point，which would leave me questioning the way I was spending my ＂lcisure time＂
So this season when my grade plummetted to 154 ，I decided it was time to try to win something for a change．Tired of always being the rabbit，I was going to do some bunny munching of my own．The Hanham Major，with an upper rating limit of 160 ， was my chosen target．There were seven players rated above me，so it was not going players rated above me，so it was not going
to be a picnic．I decided that the best way to be a picnic．I decided that the best way
to play was to try to win every game－no quick draws for me－and not make any silly mistakes．The strategy worked for the first two rounds with two fairly safe victories， then I got pitched into a game where I had a difficult decision．
J Richards－M Croasdale
Hanham Major（3） 1994
1．e4 e6 $2 . \mathrm{d} 4 \mathrm{~d} 53 . \mathrm{e} 5$ c5 4．c3 ©c6 5．0f3 Yb6 6．a3 c4 7．0．bd2 f6 8．呈e2 fxe5 9．6）xe5 ©xe5 10．dxe5 皿d7 11．b3 品c5



It＇s difficult decision time．The sac by taking on c5 looked so attractive and the more I looked，the better it got．However， I couldn＇t be sure that my initiative would be enough．Blow it，here goes：
15．©xc5 监xd1 16．留xb6 axb6




 8g8 20．余d7 是f5 21．0xf5 exf5 22．a4



## 26． 0 d6

Sadly，it＇s not possible to push the pawn，
習 a 7 干
26．．．Ed8 27．日b1 Eaxd7 28．exd7 Mxd7 $^{\text {E }}$

 35． Exd 7 Exc5 36．
 41．Be3 घc2 $42 . \mathrm{c} 4$ कf6 43．h4 g5 44．Ed6＋ 8g7 45．hxg5 hxg5 46．${ }^{-3} \mathrm{cc}-\mathrm{cc} 3+47 . \mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{d} 2$


苗3 $\mathbf{5 7 . c 6}$ f4 $58 . \mathrm{gxf} 4$ gxf4 $59 . \mathrm{c} 7$


59．．． 87 ？？
Amazing；throwing it all away to a standard rook ending tactic．After $59 \ldots f 3+$ there is nothing for it but to agree a draw．

## 60．点 h 8 1－0．

For those of you that have nodded off， $60 \ldots$ xc7 $61 . \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{h} 7+$ wins the rook．

## Time waits for no man

The Sunday morning fourth round is always a difficult one．I＇ve played a few with hangovers，but this time I＇d been very disciplined and had an early night．My opponent was the only other player on $100 \%$ and he had the White bits．
Nine thirty：start of the round so I pressed the clock．No opponent．This can be a difficult time as it＇s easy to start relaxing and lose concentration．Luckily，someone
told me that Goodfellow often turned up late．I had a cup of coffee and tried to keep focussed．At 9：53 my opponent sat down， apologised for the lack of Sunday buses and played 1．e4．
R Goodfellow－J Richards
Hanham Major（4） 1994
$1 . e 4$（23）c6（0）
The numbers in parentheses are the number of minutes on the clock．The time limit was 42 in $2 \frac{1}{4}$ hours（ 105 minutes）．Watch White＇s times carefully．
 6．Dge2（43）c5（10） $7 . \mathrm{h} 4$（49）cxd4（17） 8．© $\times d 4$ h5 9．． P 5 ＋
$9 . \mathrm{f} 4$ ！is given as a big improvement over 9．皿b5＋？！by Kotronias in his book， ＇Beating the Caro－Kann＇． $9 \ldots$ ．．．hxg 4 10．2005 ${ }^{2}$
 ＂with wild complications＂）．
9．．．〇d7 10． 2 Mg （75）요 e 7 （30） $11 . \mathrm{f4}$（88） hxg4（36） $12 . \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{xg} 4$（101）
White had burned oodles of time and had just four minutes left for 30 moves！Crowds were gathering just to look at his clock and then stare at the scoresheet
12．．．昷xg5！（56）


Two reasons for the exclamation mark for this move．The first is that Kotronias graces it with one．The second is that we were still following theory，though I had no idea this was the case．Next I did what anyone should do when your opponent＇s flag is hanging after 12 moves but you don＇t know how
much longer your own king can survive； went for a coffee．

## 13．©xe6！？

13．fxg5 昷h5 14．Wh3 ©e7 15．8d2 日c8 is apparently what happened in Hort－Seirawan Bad Kissingen 1981 （ $0-1,34$ ）．
 16．0）xd5 祭5（70）
Playing slowly and steadily．I＇ve always found it a mistake to try and blitz your opponent when he＇s so short of time．He didn＇t dare leave the board in case I moved； and any sudden vibration was likely to bring his flag down．


Tsk，tsk．Careless．20．．． $\mathrm{\rho} \mathrm{e} 1+$ ！is more decisive．
21．日xh8 昷xf4＋22．㤟d1 昌xh8 0－1．

## Deep opening preparation，not！

Four out of four，wow！There were two on $31 / 2$ and I realised I would have White against a good friend，Devon Thomas，in the last round．Devon had been playing really well and I knew he would fight all the way． He has a fine positional understanding and can conjure up a mean attack．His grade of 145 is an underestimate of what he could 14 is an underestimate of what he could
achieve．He runs his own business，Pizza achieve．He runs his own business，Pizza
Delight in Bristol＇s Stapleton Road，which takes many hours of work each week；if he had the time for chess I＇m sure he would zoom up the ratings．
Here＇s an excellent fourth round win by Devon．The switch of attack from wing to wing is exhilarating to watch．
D Thomas－J D Turner
Hanham Major（4） 1994
1．d4 ©f6 2．©f3 d5 3．． g 5 ©bd7 $4 . \mathrm{e} 3 \mathrm{c} 6$ 5．©bd2 e6 6．皿d3 皿e7 7．c3 h6 8．Mh4 b6 9．サुe2 a6 10．0－0 12．dxe5 ©d7 13．盛xe7 Hxe7 14．f4 Ec5
 $18 .{ }^{24} \mathrm{~g} 5$


19．f5 Hy7 20．fxe6 fxe6 21．©ff g4 22．©h4
 Qd7 26．日f7 Md8 27 ． EL 77 E18 $28.0 \times 18$





 1－0．

In the second round Devon had had a very quick eight move win in an Alekhine＇s：
C A Parker－D Thomas
Hanham Major（2） 1994
登g45．92e2
［5．d4 e6 6．© $\mathrm{xd5}$ 빱xd5 7．皿e2｜
 © $\mathrm{e} 3+0-1$ ．

I often have problems against the Alekhine＇s，so I decided to prepare a little surprise for Devon in a line I was sure he would be happy to follow，given his earlier success：

J Richards－D Thomas
Hanham Major（5） 1994
1．e4 ©f6 2．©c3 d5 3．exd5 ©xd5 4．昷c4 e6
4．．．0b6 is more common，but I had something up my sleeve for that as well．


5．盈xd5
This is the prepared surprise which I had found in Graham Burgess＇s The Complete Alekhine．Graham reveals that it was a computer program that found this ugly move which wins a pawn．It sounded good to me．After all，if I was a pawn up I felt I probably would not lose and a draw would guarantee at least a share of first place．Plus， guarantee at least a share of first place．Plus， it would be a nasty surprise for Devon and
he would have to work a lot out over the board．
5．．．exd5 6．늡e2＋皿e7
But I was hoping not to see this．After 6．．．皿e7，Graham gives 7．e5－end of analysis－whereas he gives two lines after


 \＃e8 13． $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{y} \mathrm{d} 1\end{aligned}$
7．씅ㅇ d4


## 8． 4 d5

8． 4 b5 did not look appealing；8．．．®a6
 11．${ }^{4}$ e4＋）when Black has good develop－ ment for the pawn．Not 8 ．${ }^{[1} \times \mathrm{xg}$ ？？？（deduct three points，as they say）because of 8．．．皿f6．

## 8．．．母а6

［ 8．．．0－0！？9．©xe7＋あn8 10．©e2 Ele8
 9．${ }^{\text {U．}} \times \mathrm{xg} 7$
［ 9．씁xd4？？c6－＋］
9．．．썽xd5 10．Wxh8＋昷f8 11．d3


11．．．皿f5
The consequences of $11 \ldots . .{ }_{y}^{l} \mathrm{xg} 2$ had to be calculated：
 14． © 66 17．0－0－0 leaves White winning．

## 12． $\mathrm{D} \mathrm{\square} 3$

12．显h6？would give Black a strong attack． 12．．．0－0－0 13．＠xf8
 15．0－0－0
12．．．0－0－0 13．0－0 ©b4 14．皿f4 昷d6 15． $\mathrm{G} x \mathrm{xd} 4$
On passing the board，Tyson Mordue said he could not believe it was a game with money at stake！


 21．a3 ©xd3 22．Ec3 ©f4 23． $\mathrm{Exd} 4 \mathrm{E} 2+$ 24．©f1 Exd4 25． H h
Devon fought on but had to concede defeat after 49 moves 1－0．

## Delusions of greatness

$100 \%$ and $£ 200$ first prize！l’d never won more than $£ 85$ before．For a few fleeting moments I even considered turning profes－ sional！
There is an unusual postscript．I bumped into Graham Burgess at the bookstall thumbing through some of his latest books （he＇s the Batsford Editor now，but many people still remember him from his Bristol days）．＂I＇ve got a bone to pick with you Graham＂，I said．＂I＇ve just played this dumb Alekhine＇s line from your book and you didn＇t give enough analysis．＂Graham was initially taken aback，but eventually realised I wasn＇t being serious．He seemed very amused that anyone should have actually played it！
So there you have it．It＇s easy to win a congress．All you need to do is fight for the win in every game，get more Whites than Blacks，and have an awful lot of luck into the bargain．

## Asset stripping

What＇s the psychologically most difficult thing about chess？Accepting a drawn position after you＇ve been better for hours？ Resigning？！
Fianchetto defenders occasionally have to realise that exchanging the wonderful g 7 bishop is correct．Shining knights on e5 sometimes have to be quietly traded in．I was put in mind of these things when looking for a win in the following position：

Higgins


Millbank（to move）
White stands a little better and he has an extra supported passed pawn．But so what？ Black is hitting the ef pawn，and isn＇t in such bad shape．At least that＇s what the adjudicator must have thought，sending it back $1 / 2-1 / 2$ ．
What the adjudicator missed（and in my experience of league adjudications this oversight is unique）was that White wins by immediately offering his greatest potential asset；thus 43．f6！
This solves the e 4 problem at a stroke（the bishop will pin the queen）and after
 most lines with 43 ；although after 44．．． G e7 45．쌍d5 is crushing．Should Black decline the pawn，White has g5 and wh5 in the pipeline．As Maths textbooks used to say，＂the remainder of this proof is left to the reader＂．I think you＇ll enjoy it．

## Jerry Humphreys

The major Junior event in Bristol so far this year was the training match between Avon and the Welsh Junior Squad，which was organised as part of the preparation for the Wales y Scotland match in January．Bristo hosted the event at St Helens Primary School in Alveston，and it consisted of two matches：Avon Under 16 v Wales Under 14 11 boards），and Avon Under 12 v Wales Under 12 （13 boards）．

The Welsh Under 12 s are stronger than their Under 14s，so our Under 12 team bravely contemplated the prospect of a thrashing while the Under 16 s were much more evenly matched．In the first round only Oliver Bright and Tim Davies managed to draw in the Under 12 s ，but the Under 16 s won 6－5．The Under 12 s were much more determined in the second round，with top boards Oliver Bright and Michael White， plus Adam Britton and Tim Davies，all winning，while the Under 16 s drew $51 / 2$ all． So a narrow victory to the under 16 s and we shall try to beat the Under 12 s in a return match at Cardiff in July．Particular congratulations to Oliver and Tim，as well as Jody Johnson，Richard and Jennifer Colfer，Matthew Parker，Nick Williams and James Macarthur，who were all unbeaten．
Wales seem to have gained from their training plan，because their Under 12 team went on to score a surprise victory against the strong Scottish tearn in the International on the 21 st January．
Oliver Bright－Richard Jones
Avon v Wales Training Match，January 1995
1．d4 Qf6 $2 . \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{~g} 6$ 3．Dc3 $0 \mathrm{~g} 74 . \mathrm{e} 4 \mathrm{~d} 65 . \mathrm{f} 4$ $0-06 . \mathrm{e} 5$ Dg4 7．h3 Qh6 8．6） 3 Qf5 $9 . \mathrm{g} 4$ Eh6 10．血e3 母 d7 11．昷d3 e6 $12 . \mathrm{g5}$ ©f5 13．虽xf5 exf5 14．h4 b6 15．炎e2 䈍b7

16．0－0－0 皆e8 17．日df1 ©f8 18．Hh2 d5 19．cxd5 号xd5 20．h5 Ec8 21．hxg6 fxg6 22．©xd5 4


White＇s attack has failed and Black now counterattacks，winning a piece．As so often in the Kings Indian，however，this is not the end of the game，only the start of the next phase．
 27．He1 Ed3 28．脜d2 亘xc5＋29．0bb2登xf3 30．Hxe4 fxe4 31．日xf3 exi3 32．日f



$40 \ldots 87$ would hold the pawn back，leaving Black a clear piece up，but now Richard climbs straight into Oliver＇s trap．


41．e6！ 44．${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{c} 3 \mathrm{Bg} 7$ ？

Giving up a piece but still not solving the problem of the $d$ pawn．The clever way out
was 44 ）b4！ $45 . e 7$ ？© $\mathrm{d} 5+$



Or 50. ． $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{g} 7+$ 娄f8 51 ． E d 7 ！traps the bishop， but the game is won anyway．
 （otherwise White＇s plan would be to win by advancing the queenside pawns，supported by the king）53．\％xe7＋me7 54． B 4

苗xb4 61．曹g7 h5 62．gxh6 g5 63．h7 1－0

League Congress
The Bristol League Championships were again attended by a record number of juniors－ 14 this year，one up on last year． This was largely due to the excellent efforts of Stuart Mills from Nailsea，who brought six of his players to play in Section 5. Incidentally，Nailsea claim to have twenty juniors，which is the highest of any League club at present．Three juniors were in the prize list－Peter Chaplin（Clevedon）won the grading prize in Section 1 with $3 / 5$ ， David Buckley（Keynsham）came 2nd in Section 2 with 4，and Paul Spiller（Dow－ nend）won Section 3 with $41 / 2$ ．Adam York Nailsea）did well to score $3 / 5$ in Section 5.
Avon Primary Schools Championships
About seventy players took part in this cvent，despite the fact that it was held on the same day as the secondary schools＇ entrance exams．The Under 11 section was run as a Swiss for the first time，which was a marked improvement on the format of previous years．The under 11 champion was Matthew Pritchard（Downend），with Mark Sims（Downend）coming second．Adam Britton（Keynsham）won the Under 9 title．

Opening Moves！
Bristol＇s famous junior chess club will be restarting on Sunday 12th March．It will meet at the Kingswood Foundation，Brittan－ nia Road，Kingswood，which is next to the City Technology College．Play will be from $2.30-4.30 \mathrm{pm}$ ，and children aged 6 and over are welcome to attend．Further infor－ mation from Duncan Macarthur，Tel 0117 9742774.

## Ansell grabs title

Simon Ansell is the new Bristol Champion The University student took the title at the League Congress（3－5 February）with just $31 / 2$ points from the five rounds，taking a last round draw when already assured of winning the tie－break．Last year＇s champion Roy Phillips，was one of three others to finish on the same score．It was a very tight top section，with nearly half the games ending in draws

Andrew Johnstone was a worthy winner in Section 2，but the sensation was young David Buckley who won an amazing fourth round game on the way to finishing second
Two years ago，Paul Spiller won Section 5 This year he was first in Section 3．Watch out for him in＇97．In the bottom section， it was a clean sweep for Grendel players who took the first three places
Overall，numbers were down this season with just 89 players compared with 105 last year．
Reports on some of the sections will appear in the next issue．

Section 1：1＝Simon Ansell University Roy Phillips Grendel，Jerry Humphreys， Tyson Mordue both Downend 31／2．Grading： Peter Chaplin Clevedon 3． 15 players．

Section 2： 1 Andrew Johnstone Cossham 412； 2 David Buckley Keynsham 4；3＝Mike Collier Cabot，Ian Biddick Hanham 31⁄2． 19 players．
Section 3： 1 Paul Spiller Downend 4½； 2 Doug Hudson Horfield； 3 T Jefferies Grendel $31 / 2.15$ players．
Section 4： 1 M Jacobs Bath 5； 2 G Wright Harambee 4；3＝ P Osborne Clevedon， P Sartain Keynsham 3½． 20 players．
Section 5： 1 D M Keene Grendel 5； 2 P Flexman Grendel 4； 3 R Bisp Grendel $31 / 2$ ． 20 players．
Team prize： 1 Downend 14.
John Richards

## Frank Palm＇s LETTER FROM Hannover

## Dear Friends，

I much enjoyed Steve Boniface＇s Hannover story（BCT 100 and 101）and I＇m looking forward to Steve＇s revenge this September， i．e．being chased through Wales and over the Mendips．I should correct two mistakes though，arising probably because the trip was so hectic．First：＂Bristol／Hannover Genesis and Development of the first English／German Twinning＂wasn＇t my doctoral，but only my MA thesis．Second： never lived in Hamburg，but I have been spending the weekends there since mid 1991．Before then，my wife and I lived together near Hannover，then she got a job as a speech and voice therapist in Hamburg．
But now to my topics．
PCA World Championship 1995 in Cologne
According to the German chess paper Schach－Magazin 64 the PCA World Schach－Magazin 1995 will definitely take place in Cologne，the metropolis of the German Rhineland，from September 10 to October 15；a maximum of 20 games will be played．
Large－scale TV presence is guaranteed；the PCA has already made agreements with major stations in the UK（BBC），the USA， Germany and Russia．
Stadthagen＇s Collapse－or：who the hell is Judit Polgar？
In March and May 1994．I told you about Stadthagen＇s meteoric rise from the fourth division into the top troika of the Bundesli－ ga last season．But the downfall was even more rapid：six months after they had come third（below Cologne－Porz and Munich Bavaria）in the $1993 / 4$ season，they had to withdraw their new team just after the first double－round of the present season and，
according to the rules，were relegated to the third division．

## What had happened？

First act：Stadthagen lost its financial base． The enormous salaries for the hired GMs，as well as fares，accommodation and catering well as fares，accommodation and cater and （roughly estimated as between 200,000 and
300,000 Deutschmarks（ $£ 85,000-£ 130,000$ ） 300,000 Deutschmarks（ $£ 85,000-£ 130,000$ ）
in three years）were not paid by the patron， Heiko Kuhlmann，himself，but by his wealthy girlfriend，who runs some rather profitable nursing homes for helpless elder－ lies．One day in early 1994，however，she feit exploited enough and，not willing to support her mate＇s patronage and his other love affairs any longer，simply fired him．

Kuhlmann，who was still able to run a Bundesliga team able to avoid relegation， got deeply frustrated by the third place of his star team（remember，including Karpov！），as his only motive for building up a team of first－rate mercenaries was solely to win the championship．Directly after Stadthagen＇s $21 / 2-51 / 2$ loss versus Bavaria Munich in the last double－round in May 1994，Kuhlmann declared he would not pay any more DM for the team，and most of the players quickly moved to other clubs．
With their source of finance suddenly dried up，Stadthagen＇s managing committee fel helpless and was going to withdraw panic．without any attempts to prevent the fall．

## Frank to the rescue

Second act：Hannover district was to lose its only club in the first division，which we a fatal threat，especially for my activities．So I felt forced to help Stadt hagen．
Staying in the Bundesliga isn＇t possible without professionals，and it was obvious that we needed a more reliable source，i．e． an industrial sponsor instead of a moody patron．A sponsor，however，isn＇t normally driven by enthusiasm but by what he ge in return：PR－effects for his products．A the USA and the UK，you have to get the television here if you want to interesl possible sponsors．
German TV stations，however，used to shum
chess almost completely；therefore a spon－ sored chess team must have exceptional stars at its top，attractive enough even for chess－ignorant TV journalists．The solution was simple：young，charming women，able to beat even the strongest male GMs－the Polgars！
I contacted a marketing institute recommen－ ded for sport sponsoring．They became thrilled by the idea，and together we worked out a concept．Until the end of June，GM Gyula Sax，the last of the former team and a close friend of the Polgars，convinced them to co－operate，and I formed a new team of young and promising IMs．The institute started its search for sponsors and we were all rather optimistic，as we were sure to get the recessary media attention， TV as well as magazines，and the team would cost in all no more than $70,000 \mathrm{DM}$ （ $£ 30,000$ ）－that＇s peanuts compared with the sums sponsors are used to paying for table－tennis，handball，or volleyball teams here，not to mention the sky－high prices in soccer or tennis．

## Managerial Dullness

Third act：We had underestimated the inflexibility of German managers．＂Made in Germany＂is not only a trademark for solidity and high technical quality；in the business world it＇s also a symbol for conservatism in company and product poli－ cy，e．g．German managers are usually more reluctant to adopt new ideas than their British，American，or Japanese colleagues． Our marketing partner offered our concept （regular appearances on TV of a formerly widely disregarded sport，headed by two world famous sisters）to 64 （！）major companies in the most appropriate branches： computers，banks，insurance，and entertain－ ment electronics．All refused；they didn＇t dare try a scheme without any previous positive experience．That means managers of leading German companies couldn＇t imagine the probable success of internation－ al top stars here because they were not top stars in Germany already！
We could not even win the support of the local administration，so we could neither pay the Polgars＇or Sax＇s salaries nor the travelling expenses and accommodation for
the amateur IMs．After the first double round，the latter declared they would not continue at their own expense，and the team had to be withdrawn．
Epilogue：If a most media－friendly team， promoted by marketing professionals，is not able to attract sponsors，chess sponsoring in the Bundesliga is probably impossible in the near future．The clubs will have to depend on enthusiastic but moody patrons，often behaving like 18th century estate owners． And a fascinating chance is gone to make chess more popular here

An example of the kind of chess we could have enjoyed：
Zsuzsa Polgar－Schaffart San Bernardino 1988
$1 . \mathrm{d} 4$ ⿹勹f6 $2.0 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{e} 63.0 \mathrm{ff} 4 \mathrm{c} 54 . \mathrm{e} 3 \mathrm{~d} 55 . \mathrm{c} 3$
 9．
Less exact is $11 . \varrho \mathrm{df3}$ Oxe5 $12 . \mathrm{dxe} 5$ e4 11．．．c4 12．显c2 b5 13．⿹df3 g6
 あxe6 16． E g 5 \＃，or $13 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 6$ 14．2 xh6 gxh6






## 14． $0 \times 77$ ！



15．皿xg6！胃f8
15．．．hxg6 16．Wh8＋©xf7 17．⿹g5＋\＃
16．©3g5 1－0 Notes by Zsuzsa Polgar．


John Nunn＇s Best Games
John Nunn
Batsford，320pp，$£ 17.99$
Batsford＇s publicity proclaimed this to be the Book of the Year；quite a claim to make in early January．However，they have quite a good chance of being right as it is going to take a exceptional book to beat this one．

This is really the second volume of Nunn＇s best games，if we count Secrets of Grand－ master Play as the first．Secrets of．．．was a joint effort between Nunn and Peter Grif－ fiths with Griffiths writing most of the tiths with Griffiths writing most of the
words．Nunn is completely on his own in Best Games，which takes up where Secrets of．．．stopped，at the Wijk aan Zee tourna－ ment in 1985 and runs through to the same tournament in 1993．Nunn has done an admirable job of putting the games in context，with some amusing anecdotes about happenings off the board，including a breakdown in the desert，an horrific stay in Hastings，and a swipe at the British chess press．
The 40 complete games and 16 part games are beautifully annotated．The reason this book is so important is Nunn＇s ability to explain complex concepts in such a way that average players feel they can understand them（whether they actually do or not is another matter）．In this，Nunn reminds me very much of Bronstein．I found the following example very instructive：
＂17．©g3（See diagram at the top of the next column）
＂As a young player，I was puzzled by games in which White played the manoeuvre Ec3 $3-\mathrm{e} 2-\mathrm{g} 3$ against the Pirc．It seemed to me that the knight was not very well placed on g3，because Black＇s g6 pawn prevented the knight advancing．Indeed，its one and only

duty seemed to be to defend the pawn on e4．Then in 1984，I lost a game with Black against Murray Chandler，in which he used precisely this manoeuvre．The crucial dis－ tinction is whether White is attacking or defending．If White doesn＇t hold the initiative then the knight on g 3 is truly inactive，but if White holds the initiative and has pressure in the centre then the knight can be very useful．The rooks and queen operating on the open files are so dangerous for Black that he cannot counter them directly；instead，Black must somehow aim for counterplay．The only weakness in White＇s position is the vulnerable pawn on e4．If this is secure，then White has plenty of time to improve his position．The function of the g3 knight is precisely to support the e4 pawn，and give White the freedom of action he needs to step up the pressure．＂

Just one example of the sort of explanation that you get from Nunn．

There is a small quibble－there are indexes；Nunn says in his introduction that he had to leave out all the indexes and one game because he had to fit everything into 320 pages．This seems a bit mean，especial． ly as we are being asked to pay eighteen quid for this book．Surely，Batsford cannot avoid remedying this at the next edition （what＇s that saying about a ha＇porth of tar？）， To sum up，this is one of the best＇Beet Games＇books of recent years．Hours of funl

John Richardo
Content 苞要要真
Readability \＆layout
Overall ob

## Bristol Fantasy Chess BulyO taufaz入 CuEzz

Managers who avoided making transfers seem to have done best in the last two months．Grumbalds Ash（0 transfers）has opened up a huge gap and is going to be difficult to catch，while Blackburne Rovers （ 2 transfers）has slipped from second to fifth and Chessasy dropped 13 places．
Bigheads also did not make any changes and zoomed up the table from 28 th to 11th． Another big climber was C8 my Hamster， up 11 ．
Competition is still strong for the bottom position，but Caissa is back there and may well hold on to it．
In the charts，S1 is the score in 1994 and S2 in 1995．Scores in italics in the S1 column may change because of unfinished games．

| Team | Manager <br> Grumbalds Ash | Pts |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Simple Minds Wood | 362 |  |
| The Chippendales | M Brigden | 328 |
| Wessex | P Butterworth | 323 |
| Blackburne Rovers | J R Draisey | 318 |
| Arkle | R Gage | 317 |
| Crewsers | B Crewe | 312 |
| Babylon 6 | J Edwards | 308 |
| Class Act | M Brigden | 304 |
| The Hatchet Men | C Axon | 301 |
| Bigheads | I Biddick | 300 |
| Rook Fianchettoes | A Camilleri | 295 |
| Clifton Sec＇s Stars | P Bissicks | 294 |
| C8 my Hamster | C Beaumont | 294 |
| Swindler＇s List | R Phillips | 288 |
| Chessasy | M Dear | 285 |
| Dead Moon | SS Sandhu | 284 |
| The Mysterious Rooks D Pugh | 277 |  |
| Northavon Nomads | J G Milton | 276 |
| C J Strollers | C Jones | 271 |
| Annie＇s Angels | N Palmer | 266 |
| Friends of Kamsky | J Richards | 266 |
| Ronan＇s Six | G Thomson | 265 |
| Morphy＇s Marauders | M Furnevall | 264 |
| Anonymous | J Humphreys | 262 |
| Oddballs | J Paines | 254 |
| Justintimers | I Pickup | 250 |
| The Bishop Bashers | G Mobbs | 247 |
| 64 of Them | J Levine | 242 |
| Caissa | R N Allen | 231 |
| R |  |  |


| Team Clifton A | Player | Grd | S1 | S2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Boyce | 163 | 29 | 17 |
|  | Collier | 180 | 26 | 33 |
|  | Cooper | 192 | 31 | 5 |
|  | Harris | 164 | 21 | 13 |
|  | Haydon | 199 | 0 | 0 |
|  | O＇Byrne | 143 | 25 | 8 |
|  | Grossett | 131 |  | 5 |
| Clifton B | Baker | 165 | 25 | 13 |
|  | Furmston | 165 | 14 | 4 |
|  | Grossett | 131 | 31 |  |
|  | Jones C | 180 | 23 | 28 |
|  | Pearce | 165 | 8 | 10 |
|  | Tiplady | 175 | 5 | 5 |
|  | White IR | 165 |  | 6 |
|  | Wilkinson G | 155 |  | 18 |
|  | Taylor GP | 168 |  | 5 |
| Horfield A | Davies | 166 | 27 | 12 |
|  | Dilleigh | 182 | 37 | 12 |
|  | Easton | 187 | 21 | 2 |
|  | Nendick | 166 | 34 | 12 |
|  | Richards | 154 | 51 | 16 |
|  | Sellars | 171 | 33 | 28 |
| Down＇nd A | Binks | 206 | 30 | 11 |
|  | Brigden | 176 | 32 | 14 |
|  | Humphreys | 179 | 35 | 3 |
|  | Mordue | 204 | 29 | 28 |
|  | Oldfield | 165 | 22 | 22 |
|  | White EM | 158 | 44 | 22 |
| Grendel A | Carter | 154 | 37 | 15 |
|  | Clarke | 166 | 23 | 23 |
|  | Osborne | 170 | 62 | 16 |
|  | Phillips | 199 | 46 | 14 |
|  | Pugh | 174 | 44 | 16 |
|  | Will | 174 | 43 | 22 |
| Hanham A | Beaumont | 212 | 39 | 8 |
|  | Biddick | 136 | 21 | 14 |
|  | Bradley | 164 | 8 | 2 |
|  | Crockert | 161 | 20 | 8 |
|  | Palmer | 135 | 19 | 10 |
|  | Summerill | 134 | 40 | 3 |
| S B＇tol A | Brooks | 157 | 8 | 5 |
|  | Jones D | 150 | 28 | －2 |
|  | Lock | 162 | 27 | 1 |
|  | Rudd | 192 | 28 | 9 |
|  | Stone | 153 | 7 | 0 |
|  | Weeks | 164 | 35 | 11 |
|  | Twine D | 167 |  | 0 |
|  | Radford D | 141 |  | 22 |
|  | Parker CA | 139 |  | －3 |
| Univ A | Ansell | 205 | 28 | 26 |
|  | Simpson | 190 | 19 | 0 |
|  | Hong | 172 | 20 | 15 |
|  | Hunt | 174 | 34 | 23 |
|  | Heaton P | 139 |  | 7 |
|  | Said D | 161 |  | 0 |
| Bath |  | 171 | 29 | 16 |
|  | Buckley | 214 | 33 | 27 |
|  | Eyley | 188 | 36 | 22 |
|  | Gregory A | 119 | 30 | 4 |
|  | Gregory R | 147 | 29 | 0 |
|  | Helbig | 193 | 5 | 0 |
|  | Ledbury | 141 | 7 | 0 |
|  | O＇Mahoney | 159 |  | 17 |

## Results

All results received by the Match Secretary up to 25th February are included.
$\mathrm{A}=$ adjudication; $\mathrm{C}=$ continuation

KO Round 2: Bath 4 Clifton 4 Bath win on tie-break; Quarter-finals: Grendel 2 Horfield 5 (1C); University v Cossham postponed; Thornbury $61 / 2$ South Bristol $11 / 2$; Bath 8 Civil Service 0
Minor KO quarter-finals: Civil Service 0 Keynsham 8; Bristol Cabot $1 / 2$ Clevedon 71/2; Thornbury $71 / 2$ Yate $1 / 2$.
Division 1: Hanham A 3 Bath 3; Hanham A $21 / 2$ Downend A $31 / 2$; Clifton B 3 Horfield A 3; Horfiel A $31 / 2$ South Bristol A $21 / 2$; Hanham A $31 / 2$ University A $31 / 2$ South Bristol A $21 / 2$; Bath 3 Horfield A 2 (1C) Clifton B 1 Grendel A 5 ; Clifton B 4 Clifton A 2 Horfield A $31 / 2$ Downend A $21 / 2$; Bath 3 Grendel A 3; Downend A $31 / 2$ Hanham A $21 / 2$; Horfield A $31 / 2$ Bath 21/2; Clifton A 31/2 Grendel A $21 / 2$; University A 4 South Bristol A 2; Downend A 3 Clifton A 2 (1C) Hanham A 1 Grendel A 2 ( $1 \mathrm{~A}, 2 \mathrm{C}$ ); Bath $41 / 2$ South Bristo! A 11/2; Clifton B 3 University A 3; Clifton 25 South Bristol A 1; University A $1 / 2$ Hanham A A 3 University A 3; South Bristol A 4 Clifton B 2 Grendel A 4 Clifton B 2; South Bristol A 0 Horfield A 6; University A 0 Downend A 6 walkover.
Division 2: Horfield B 2 Thornbury A 4; Horfield B 3 Clevedon A 3; Thornbury A $31 / 2$ University B
21/2; Cossham B $31 / 2$ Horfield B $21 / 2$; Grendel B $21 / 2$ Thornbury A $31 / 2$; Cossham A 4 Horfield B 2 ; Nailsea A 3 Thornbury A 3 ; Nailsea A $21 / 2$ University B $31 / 2 ;$ Horfield B $11 / 2$ Grendel B $41 / 2 ;$ Cossham A 3 Cossham B 3; Clevedon A 4 Horfield B 2; University B 3 Thornbury A 3; Sun Life A $1 / 2$ Cossham A $51 / 2 ;$ Cossham B $31 / 2$ Grendel B $11 / 2$ (1A) Thornbury A
Horfield B $31 / 2$; Thornbury A 2 Cossham A 3 (1A); Horfield B a University B $31 / 2$; Horfield B $41 / 2$ Sun Life A $11 / 2$. Division 3: Grendel C 5 Cossham C 1; Hanham B $21 / 2$ Grendel C $31 / 2$; Downend B $41 / 2$ Nailsea B $11 / 2$ University C $21 / 2$ Grendel C $31 / 2$; Grendel C $51 / 2$ $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Nailsea B } \\ \text { Keynsham A } 51 / 2 & \text { Downend B }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Keynsham A } 51 / 2 & \text { Cossham C } \\ \text { Nailsea B } 2(1 / 2 ;\end{array}$ Thornbury B 3 , Hanham B $11 / 2$ Clifton C $41 / 2$, Cossham C 2 Thornbury B 4; Grendel C $41 / 2$ Clevedon B $1 / 2$ (1A); University C 1 Nailsea B 5 Keynsham A 41/2 Downend B 112/2; Thornbury B 41/2 Clifton C 11/2; Cossham C 3 University C 3; Grendel C 5 Hanham B 1; Nailsea B $21 / 2$ Downend B $31 / 2$ University C $13 / 2$ Keynsham A $41 / 2$; Nailsea B Clifton C 2 Keynsham A 4; Grendel C 3 Clifton C 3: University C 4 Hanham B 2; Clevedon B $31 / 2$ Nailsea B $21 / 2$; Hanham B $11 / 2$ Downend B $1 / 1 / 2$ (3A).

Division 4: Keynsham B 3 Horfield C 3; Bristo Cabot A 4 Nailsea C 2; Hanham C $1 / 1 / 2$ Keynsham B 41/2; Harambee A 3 Nailsea C 3; Bristol Cabot A $41 / 2$ South Bristol C $11 / 2$; Downend C $1 / 2$ Horfield C 51/; Thornbury C 2 Clifton D 4; South Bristol C 3 Nailsea C 1 Hanham C 5 ; Horfield C $21 / 2$ Keynsham B $21 / 2$ (1A); Downend C 3 Thornbury C 3; South Bristol C 2 Horfield C 4; Harambee A 4 Clifton D 2; Hanham C 2 Bristol Cabot A 3 (1A); Keynsham $351 / 2$ Nailsea C $1 / 2$; Harambee A 4 Thornbury C 2 ; Horfield C 4 Clifton D 1 (1A); Keynsham B $41 /$ South Bristol C $11 / 2$; Harambee A $21 / 2$ Hanham C $31 / 2 ;$ Bristol Cabot A 2 Downend C 4; Nailsea C $21 / 2$ lifton D $2^{21 / 2}$ Division 5: Grendel D $31 / 2$ Clevedon C 2k; University E $21 / 2$ Grendel D $21 / 2$ (1A); Bristol Cabot B 2 Grendel D 4; Horfield D 4 $1 / 2$ Bristol Cabot B 11/2; Clevedon C v Grendel D postponed; Grendel B $21 / 2$ Horfield D $31 / 2$; Nailsea D 41/2 Sun Life B 11/3; Clevedon C 0 Sea Mills 6 ; University D 3 University Grendel D 4. University D 3 Clevedon C 2 (1A) Grendel D $31 / 2$ Grendel E $21 / 2$; Sun Life B 2 Horfield D 4; Nailsea D 5 Grendel E 1; Bristol Cabot B 3 h University D $2 \frac{1}{2}$; Civil Service v Sun Life B postponed; Grendel E beat Clevedon C; Horfield D 3 Sea Mills 3; Sun Life B $41 / 2$ University D $16:$ Nailsea D 2 Horfield D 4; University D 4 Civil Service 1 ( 1 A ); Grendel D v Bristol Cabot 8 postponed; University

Division 6: Yate B 11/2 Yate A 41/2; (GE 41/2 Hanhem D $112 /$; GE $51 / 2$ Nailsea E $1 / 2$; Grendel F $21 / 2$ Yate A 31/2; GE 2 Grendel G 4; Downend D 6 Nailsea E $0_{1}$ Yate A 3 Harambee B 3; Downend E 3 GE 1 Grendel $11 / 2$ Clifton E 1 Downend D 5 ; Nailsea B Harambee B 3: Hanham D $2^{1 / 2}$ Downend E 31/2; $11 / 2$ Grendel F $41 / 2$; Grendel G $11 / 2$ Grendel F Downend E 2 Downend D 4; Hanham D Harambee B $31 / 2$; Yate A $31 / 2$ Yate B $21 / 2$; Clifton $n$ 1 Keynsham C 5; Grendel G $21 / 2$ GE 31/2; Yate B 41\% Hanham D 11/2; Keynsham C 6 Nailsea E O; Grenter G 6 Harambee B 0 w.o.; Nailsea E 2 Downend 4; Grendel F 4 Downend D 2; Yate A 41/2 Clinem Y 1/2; Downend $31 / 2$ Karambee B $21 / 2$; Nailsea II GE 5; Clifton E 3 Grendel F 3.
Division 7: Downend F 3 Keynsham D 1; $21 / 2$ Keynsham E 11/2; Yate C $11 / 2$ Nailsea Keynsham D 4 Keynsham E 0; Nailsea Downend F 21/2; Keynsham D $11 / 2$ Yate ${ }^{2} 13$; 21k. Downend F 1 Yate C 3. Yate C 3 Downete 1; Keynsham E 0 Keynsham D 4

## Thornbury go for the double

Thombury have achieved a unique double by beating South Bristol in the KO. They are almost certainly the first club to be semi-finalists in both competitions.

## KO Cup Semi-Finals

Bath v Horfield (15/3)
Thombury v University/Cossham (13/3)
Minor KO Semi-Finals
Thornbury v Harambee (20/2)
Clevedon $v$ Keynsham (24/2)

## It's Downend v Grendel

## Individual KO Semi-Finals

Two players each from Downend and Grendel have made it to the last four. The draw kept clubmates apart and the two Downend players got the home draw.
Jerry Humphreys v Derek Pugh Tyson Mordue v Chris Carter

## Tough at the top

The championship race is hotting up, with Horfield, Grendel and Downend practically neck and neck. University are favourites for relegation after defaulting all 6 boards against Downend

## Lesous Tables

includes resolved matches up to 25th February

Division 1

|  | Pld DP Pts |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Horfield A | 11 | - |
| 17 |  |  |
| Grendel A | 11 | - |
| 15 |  |  |
| Downend A | 11 | - |
| 15 |  |  |
| Bath | 10 | - |
| 10 |  |  |
| South Bristol A | 13 | 5 |
| 10 |  |  |
| Clifton B | 12 | 2 |
| 9 |  |  |
| Clifton A | 11 | 12 |

Division 4

|  | Pld |  | DP Pts |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Keynsham B | 12 | - | 21 |
| Horfield C | 13 | 4 | 21 |
| Clitton D | 13 | 4 | 18 |
| Downend C | 13 | 1 | 16 |
| Harambee A | 13 | 2 | 13 |
| Hanham C | 12 | 1 | 11 |
| Thornbury C | 13 | 3 | 9 |
| Bristol Cabot A | 12 | 2 | 7 |
| Nailsea C | 11 | 4 | 4 |
| South Bristol C | 12 | - | 4 |

## Division 7

Yate \& S C
Keynsham D
Downend F
Nailsea F
Keynsham E

| Division 2 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Pld | DP Pts |  |
| Clevedon A | 10 | - | 15 |
| Thornbury A | 11 | - | 15 |
| Cossham A | 10 | -13 |  |
| Horfield B | 13 | - | 13 |
| Grendel B | 10 | 1 | 11 |
| University B | 11 | 5 | 11 |
| Cossham B | 10 | - | 9 |
| Nailsea A | 10 | - | 4 |
| Sun Life A | 9 | - | 3 |
| South Bristol B withdrew. |  |  |  |

## Division 5

|  | Pld |  | DP |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Pts |  |  |
| Horfield D | 14 | 4 | 23 |
| Sea Mills \& LW | 13 | - | 21 |
| Grendel D | 11 | 1 | 20 |
| Nailsea D | 13 | 5 | 15 |
| Civil Service | 11 | 3 | 10 |
| Bristol Cabot B | 11 | 9 | 8 |
| Sun Life B | 13 | 5 | 8 |
| Clevedon C | 11 | 2 | 7 |
| University D | 13 | 5 | 6 |
| Grendel E | 14 | 35 | 3 |
| University E withdrew. |  |  |  |

$D P=$ Default Penalty Points. One league point has been deducted for every ten DPs

Division 3

|  | Pld |  | DP PtS |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Keynsham A | 13 | - | 22 |
| Downend B | 12 | - | 21 |
| Grendel C | 12 | - | 19 |
| Thombury B | 11 | - | 15 |
| Clitton C | 13 | 2 | 13 |
| Nailsea B | 13 | 4 | 10 |
| Cossham C | 13 | - | 7 |
| University C | 13 | 4 | 7 |
| Clevedon B | 12 | 6 | 5 |
| Hanham B | 12 | 7 | 5 |

Division 6
Keynsham

## Pld DP Pt

Keynsham C
Yate \& S A
Downend D
Grendel F
Downend $E$
Harambee B
GE
Yate \& S B
Grendel G
Clifton E
Hanham $D$
Nailsea E


## League Notices

The old Post Office Social Club in Old Market has closed, causing two league clubs to get on their bikes.

Civil Service have moved to the Civil Service Sports \& Social Club, Civil Service Sports Ground, Filton Ave, Bristol.
Bristol Cabot have gone to the Leisure Island Social Club, 7 Lawrence Hill, Bristol. The latter is perhaps better known as an old Omnibus venue.

University E have withdrawn from Division 5.

## Bristol Grand Prix on the starting grid

It looks like agreement has been reached on launching a Bristol Grand Prix along the lines of the national events such as the Leigh Grand Prix. Players will get points and prizes according to their scores in a series of local congresses. The idea is to encourage players to enter more local events. Tournament organisers are invited to contact Chris Catter (0117 924 5443) for details on signing up their events.

## Crossword Competition Results

It seems that the crossword in the last issue proved too tough for most of you. Still, there has to be a winner and the first correct entry out of the bag was from Chris Bradley of Hanham who wins the free ten issue subscription. To the others: better luck next time.

Solution to Crossword No 1.
Across: 1 Giuoco Piano. 9 Caro. 10 Paris Gambit. 11 Etna. 14 Overrun. 16 Kennels. 17 Manna. 18 Read. 19 Alps. 20 Beard. 22 Nodders. 23 Latence. 24 Good. 28 Skimmington. 29 Tube. 30 Independent.
Down: 2 Iran. 3 Odin. 4 Organum. 5 Isms. 6 Nailsea. 7 Castles Long. 8 Solar System. 12 Four Knights. 13 Gerald Mobbs. 15 Names. 16 Knurl. 20 Bracken. 21 Daytime. 25 Smee. 26 Aged. 27 Soon.

## Ansell wins Grendel blitz

The third Grendel Lightning on 21 February was won by the new Bristol Champion, Simon Ansell. After winning his first five games, he was a point clear of the field, and in the final round, he took a draw against Dave Osbome to make sure of first place.

The toumament attracted 35 players. About half were from clubs other than Grendel, including four from Thornbury, and six from Keynsham (all members of the Buckley family!).
1 ANSELL,Simon University $51 / 2 ; 2-3$ PUGH,Derek Grendel, CHAPLIN,Peter Clevedon 5. Under-141: ANSELL,Stuart University $41 / 2$. Highest ungraded: HARRIS,P Grendel 4. Under-101: BUCKLEY,Melanie Keynsham, WALLER,P Thornbury 4. Under-81: KUJORE,A Grendel $21 / 2$.


Use this column to advertise your events. It's free, but please send your entries in pienty of time to the Editor.
Mar 4. BRISTOL League Quickplay, Students' Union, Queen's Road.
Mar 11. Somerset v Dorset.
Mar 12. TEIGNMOUTH Rapidplay. J G Gorodi, 0162655360.
Mar 18-19. 4NCL.
Mar 24-26. EAST DEVON Congress.
E G Sparke, 01395442585.
Apr 1-2. GLOUCESTER, Spectrum Congress, U-180, U-140, U-105. Les Day, 01803212136.

Apr 14-17. WECU Easter Congress. Richard Rendell, 01793724039.
May 6-8. DART VALLEY Congress.
May 12-14. FROME Congress. G Jepps, 01749344191.

May 20-21. 4NCL.
May 24. BRISTOL League AGM.
Students' Union, Queen's Road.
May 27-29. COTSWOLD Congress. L Wood, 0168472875.

